BMD common mistakes from request to interpretation

ALIREZA RAJAEI MD

ARRAJAEI@GMAIL.COM

RHEUMATOLOGY DEPARTMENT

LOGHMAN HOSPITAL



Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

Common BMD mistakes

I. Request

- II. Step 1: ID characters
- III. Step 2: Good scan criteria
- **IV.** Step 3: ROI(Region Of Interest) insertion
- V. Step 4: Area rules
- **VI. Step 5: Interpretation**

Common mistakes in BMD I. request

BMD request:

- A) INDICATIONS MISTAKES
- B) WRITING MISTAKES

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

BMD request in indications mistakes

CORRECT INDICATIONS

Women aged ≥65 years

Postmenopausal women <65 years of age with risk factors for fracture

Women during the menopausal transition with clinical risk factors for fracture, such as low body weight, prior fracture or high-risk medication use

Men aged ≥70 years

Men <70 years old with clinical risk factors for fracture

Adults with a fragility fracture

Adults with a disease or condition associated with low bone mass or bone loss

Adults taking medications associated with low bone mass or bone loss

Anyone being considered for pharmacologic therapy

Anyone being treated, to monitor treatment effect

WRONG INDICATION

1. Skeletal pain in absence of other factors e.g. fractures or another clinical indication.

2. Chronic disease in absence of other risk factors.

3. Traumatic fractures in absence of another factor.

in women who are or might be pregnant

A patient whose weight exceeds the limit for the DXA table (typically about 130 kg for older instruments; 180–200 kg for others) should not be put on the table in case of damage to the table frame or injury to the patient.

BMD request: writing mistakes











1- Personality or identification characters

Properly written of name, surname, gender, weight & height.

selection of reference population: in COUNTRIES SUCH as Iran without exact reference population, based ISCD recommendation, for all regions except hip, first option is Caucasian, then White, but for hip first option is NANHANES III.

PrintDate : 2013-11-18

 $\overline{}$

Patient Information				
PatientID		Doctor	TestDoc	
Name	shirin	Ethnicity	Asian	Q1
BirthDate19	41-01-01 (72.9)	Gender	Male	
Height	159.0 cm	Weight	62.0 Kg	
		<software td="" ve<=""><td>r. 2.2/2.3 Spine A</td><td>la.:3 ></td></software>	r. 2.2/2.3 Spine A	la.:3 >



L3_L4

0.994

-1.7 (82%)

-0.4 (96%)

26.24

26.40





Patient Information

Spine

PatientID	
Name	
BirthDate	1966-01-01 (47.9)
Height	154.0 cm
Menopause	Yes





Region	BMD	T-Score	Z-Score	BMC(g)	Area(cm2)
L1	0.860	-2.2 (76%)	-2.2 (77%)	8.11	9.42
L2	1.028	-1.4 (86%)	-1.4 (86%)	10.30	10.02
L3	1.236	0.3 (103%)	0.4 (104%)	14.71	11.90
L4	1.084	-1.0 (90%)	-0.9 (91%)	14.45	13.33
L1_L2	0.947	-1.8 (81%)	-1.7 (82%)	18.41	19.44
L1_L3	1.056	-0.9 (90%)	-0.9 (91%)	33.11	31.35
L1_L4	1.065	-1.0 (90%)	-0.9 (91%)	47.56	44.68
L2_L3	1.141	-0.5 (95%)	-0.4 (96%)	25.01	21.92
L2_L4	1.119	-0.7 (93%)	-0.6 (94%)	39.46	35.26
1314	1 155	-0.4 (96%)	-0.3 (97%)	29.15	25.24

2	012-10-1	13
	L1	
	L2	
	L3	
	L4	
In	nage no	for diagnosis

Patient Information	
PatientID590	DoctorTestDoc
Name Helen	EthnicityAsian
BirthDate1963-01-01 (50.9)	GenderFemale
Height166.0 cm	Weight70.0 Kg
MenopauseYes	<software 2="" 2.2="" 2.3="" :="" alg.="" femur="" left="" ver.=""></software>

Left Femur 2012-10-10

Image not for diagnosis



Region	BMD	T-Score	Z-Score	BMC(g)	Area(cm2)
Neck	0.885	-0.1 (98%)	0.1 (101%)	4.76	5.38
Wards	0.695	-1.4 (79%)	-1.0 (85%)	0.75	1.08
Troch	0.733	-0.2 (98%)	-0.5 (93%)	10.23	13.96
Shaft	0.926	-	-	-	-
Total	0.837	-0.8 (90%)	-0.8 (89%)	16.18	19.34



Left Femur 2012-10-10 1.14 1.02 0.90 0.78 0.66 0.54 0.42 0.30 Image not for diagnosis



Region	BMD	T-Score	Z-Score	BMC(g)	Area(cm2)
Neck	0.885	-0.1 (98%)	0.1 (101%)	4.76	5.38
Wards	0.695	-1.4 (79%)	-1.0 (85%)	0.75	1.08
Troch	0.733	-0.2 (98%)	-0.5 (93%)	10.23	13.96
Shaft	0.926	-	-	-	-
Total	0.837	-0.8 (90%)	-0.8 (89%)	16.18	19.34

Manufacturers are advised to use National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III youngadult Caucasian female BMD data as the reference standard for femoral neck and total proximal femur T-score calculation and to continue to use their own reference databases for lumbar spine T-score calculation . However, country-specific guidelines related to the use of T-scores may differ from international guidelines As an example, in Japan, T-scores are not used for diagnostic classifica-; therefore, statements regarding T-scores for dition agnosis are not applicable in Japan. If local reference data are available, they should be used to calculate Z-scores but not T-scores.

Key question #7

Which term is preferred, "Caucasian" or "White" when categorizing race?

ISCD official position

When reporting or referring to race, "White" is preferred to "Caucasian". GRADE: Fair - C - W

Rationale

Emerging literature is supporting harmonizing nomenclature for race classification as White, in preference to Caucasian. JAMA has endorsed this approach as it was adopted by the AMA Manual of Style, stating "language and terminology must be accurate, clear, and precise, and must reflect fairness, equity, and consistency in use and reporting of race and ethnicity". Specifically, Caucasian refers to people from the Caucasus region in Eurasia and therefore does not appropriately describe the broad categorization encompassed by the term White

Additionally, there are cultural associations with this specific group that some might find sensitive . A preference for the term White is also demonstrated by government agencies including the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and Census Bureau Consistent with these and other reports, the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR) has advocated a shift to using White and recommends race classification within FRAX[®] also become compliant with this recommendation

Name: _____, Fatemeh Patient ID: 94.02.349 DOB: 16 February 1946 Sex: Female Ethnicity: White Height: 149.0 cm Weight: 65.0 kg Age: 69

Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei



104 x 97 NECK: 49 x 15 DAP: 1.2 cGy*cm²



Scan Information:

Scan Date:	09 May 2015	ID: A0509151T	
Scan Type:	x Left Hip		
Analysis:	09 May 2015 17:48 Ver	rsion 13.3	12
	Hip		YO -
Operator:			
Model:	Discovery W (S/N 8316	57)	
Comment:			

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	4.97	3.30	0.664	-1.7	78	0.1	101
Troch	7.13	4.14	0.581	-1.2	83	0.1	101
Inter	16.52	16.17	0.979	-0.8	89	0.4	107
Total	28.62	23.61	0.825	-1.0	88	0.5	108
Ward's	1.20	0.53	0.441	-2.5	60	0.0	100

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Osteopenia

FRAX® WHO Fracture Risk Assessment Tool

10-year Fracture Risk ¹		
Major Osteoporotic Fracture	5.8%	
Hip Fracture	1.1%	
Reported Risk Factors:		
Turkey, T-score(WHO)=-1.6, BMI=29.3		

¹ FRAX® Version 3.05. Fracture probability calculated for an untreated patient. Fracture probability may be lower if the patient has received treatment.

Comment:

T-score vs. White Female; Z-score vs. White Female. Source: BMDCS/NHANES







	Ca	cu	lation	Tool
--	----	----	--------	------

Please answer the questions below to calculate the ten year probability of fracture with BMD.

Country: Iran N	ame/ID:	Fatemeh 🔤	About the risk factors
Questionnaire: 1. Age (between 40 and 90 years) or D Age: Date of Birth: 69 Y: M: 2. Sex O 3. Weight (kg)	Date of Birth D: Male Female 65	10. Secondary osteoporosis 11. Alcohol 3 or more units/day 12. Femoral neck BMD (g/cm ²) Hologic ♥ 0.664 Clear Calcula	No Yes No Yes T-score: -1.6
4. Height (cm)	149	BMI: 29.3	
5. Previous Fracture	🔍 No 🛛 Yes	The ten year probability of fracture (%) 🕒
6. Parent Fractured Hip	● No O Yes	with BMD	
7. Current Smoking	● No ○ Yes	Major osteoporotic	5.6
8. Glucocorticoids	● No ○ Yes	Hip Fracture	1.6
9. Rheumatoid arthritis	● No ○ Yes	If you have a TBS value, click here:	Adjust with TBS



I-score vs. White Female. Source:2012 BMDCS/NHANES White Female. Z-score vs. White remale. Source:2012 BMDCS/NHANES White Female. Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei



Image not for diagnostic use 101 x 108 NECK: 48 x 15 HAL: 101 mm



Sex: Female Ethnicity: Caucasian Height: 159.0 cm Weight: 66.0 kg Age: 60

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	19 October 2021	ID: A10192105
Scan Type:	f Left Hip	
Analysis:	19 October 2021 08:4	41 Version 13.6.0.7
	Hip	
Operator:	Sh	
Model:	Horizon Wi (S/N 304	4687M)
Comment:		

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	4.46	3.31	0.743	-1.0	87	0.3	105
Troch	10.36	5.99	0.578	-1.2	82	-0.3	94
Inter	20.72	22.84	1.102	0.0	100	0.7	112
Total	35.54	32.14	0.904	-0.3	96	0.7	110
Ward's	1.15	0.56	0.489	-2.1	67	-0.1	98

7 2%

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Normal

FRAX® WHO Fracture Risk Assessment Tool

10-year Fracture Risk¹ Major Osteonorotic Fracture

Hip Fracture	0.4%
D . 1D'1 D .	
Reported RISK Factors.	

US (Caucasian), T-score(WHO)=-1.0, BMI=26.1

¹ FRAX® Version 3.08. Fracture probability calculated for an untreated patient. Fracture probability may be lower if the patient has received treatment.

Comment:

f-score vs. White Female. Source:2012 BMDCS/NHANES White Female. Z-score vs. White Female. Source:2012 BMDCS/NHANES White Female.









Calculation Tool

Please answer the questions below to calculate the ten year probability of fracture with BMD.

Country: Iran Na	ame/ID:	ahereh	About the risk factors
Questionnaire: 1. Age (between 40 and 90 years) or Date of Birth: 60 Y: M: 2. Sex 3. Weight (kg)	ate of Birth D: Male Female	 10. Secondary osteoporosis 11. Alcohol 3 or more units/day 12. Femoral neck BMD (g/cm²) Hologic < 0.743 Clear Calcula 	● No ○ Yes ● No ○ Yes T-score: -1.0
4. Height (cm)	159	PMT- 26 1	
5. Previous Fracture	● No O Yes	The ten year probability of fracture (%) 🙂
6. Parent Fractured Hip	● No O Yes	with BMD	
7. Current Smoking	● No ○ Yes	Major osteoporotic	3.8
8. Glucocorticoids	O No ○ Yes	Hip Fracture	0.4
9. Rheumatoid arthritis	● No O Yes	If you have a TBS value, click here:	Adjust with TBS

T-scores are commonly used to define osteoporosis/low bone mass. A BMD more than 2.5 standard deviations below the mean for a young healthy adult white woman identifies 30 percent of all postmenopausal women as having osteoporosis; half of these women will already have had a fracture. The hip T-score is the site used in clinical decisions.

Z-score is less commonly used but may be helpful in identifying persons who should undergo a work-up for secondary causes of osteoporosis. A Zscore changes over time in relation to the T-score.

The following shows how one might interconvert T- and Z-scores.

Converting T-score to Z-score at the hip:

```
Age 50: T = Z - 0.37 \sim 0.5
Age 60: T = Z - 1.01 \sim 1
Age 70: T = Z - 1.56 \sim 1.5
Age 80: T = Z - 2.11 \sim 2
Age 90: T = Z - 2.52 \sim 2.5
```

Common BMD mistakes

Step 2: Good scan criteria

2-Good scan criteria

- Five characters (first of 2 are constant):
 - 1- straightening of each scan
 - 2- absence of artifact
 - 3- upper border of scan
 - 4- lower border of scan
 - 5- both sides borders(inner & outer)

2-Good scan criteria

	Straight (S)	Absence of artifact	Upper border (A)	lower border (B)	Both sides (C)
PA Spine	+	+	At least half of T12	At least half of L ⁵	At least 2 Cm for each sides
Нір	+	+	2 Cm above of upper border of trochanter	1.5 Cm below of lower border of lesser trochanter	Outer: 1 Cm soft tissue, Inner: 1Cm neck-ramus dis., no lesser troch.& ob. foramen
Forearm	+	+	proximal: after the max elbow prominence	Distal: 2 cm after radiocarpal joint	At least 1 Cm soft tissue
Whole body	+	+	1 Cm above top of head	1 Cm below of feet	1 Cm soft tissue

	Factors modifyin	g bone mineral density (BMD)*				
Region	Increased BMD		Decreased BMD			
Hip 🤇	Excessive or inadequ	ate internal hip rotation rotation				
	Osteoarthritis		Artifact overlying so	oft tissue		
	Metal artifact		Lytic lesions			
	Focal skeletal scieros	sis				
Spine	Osteophytes					
	Focal skeletal pathologic	ogy (i.e., sclerosis, metastasis, or Paget's disease)	Artifacts overlying s	soft tissues		
	Vertebral compression	n fracture	Rotoscoliosis			
	Vascular calcification		Laminectomy			
	Metal, radiology cont	rast, stones, calcium tablets or other artifact overlying spine	Lytic lesions			
*Extremes of body weight or significant change (more than 10%) in body weight can have unpredictable effects on BMD and affect serial measurements.						



Image not for diagnostic use 116 x 134 DAP: 2.3 cGy*cm²

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation



A > B

	Neutral	External rotation from al neutral of			Internal rotation from neutral of		
Cadaver no.	0°	15°	30°	45°	15°	30°	45°
1	0.490	0.524	0.549	0.628	0.510	0.714	0.845
2	0.574	0.567	0.632	0.711	0.581	0.619	0.753
3	0.835	0.872	0.902	1.071	0.874	1.037	1.222
4	0.946	0.977	1.005	1.036	1.102	1.283	1.492

Effect of Increasing Internal or External Rotation From the Neutral Position on the Femoral Neck Bone Mineral Density (g/cm²) of Cadaveric Femurs

Reproduced with permission from Calcif. Tissue Int. 1995;57:340-343.

	Factors modifying	ng bone mineral density (BMD)*	
Region	Increased BMD		Decreased BMD
Hip	Excessive or inadeo	uate internal hip rotation rotation	
	Osteoarthritis		Artifact overlying soft tissue
	Metal artifact		Lytic lesions
	Focal skeletal scierc	isis	
Spine	Osteophytes		
	Focal skeletal patho	logy (i.e., sclerosis, metastasis, or Paget's disease)	Artifacts overlying soft tissues
	Vertebral compressi	on fracture	Rotoscoliosis
	Vascular calcificatio	ñ	Laminectomy
	Metal, radiology con	trast, stones, calcium tablets or other artifact overlying spine.	Lytic lesions
*Extremes measurem	s of body weight or signification of body weight or signification of the second s	nt change (more than 10%) in body weight can have unpredictable effect	ts on BMD and affect serial





Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation





Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation




Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

A.R.RAJAEI MD



Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

A.R.RAJAEI MD

Step 2 technical errors: 1. A < B 2. Lesser trochanter prominency





Image not for diagnostic use 105 x 118 NECK: 49 x 15

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	08 January 2022	ID: A01082218
Scan Type:	f Left Hip	
Analysis:	08 January 2022 15:2	29 Version 13.6.0.7
37-5	Hip	
Operator:	NB	
Model:	Horizon Wi (S/N 304	4687M)
Comment:		

A < **B**

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	5.07	3.45	0.681	-1.5	80	-0.1	98
Troch	10.54	6.62	0.628	-0.7	89	0.3	104
Inter	25.90	27.56	1.064	-0.2	97	0.6	110
Total	41.51	37.64	0.907	-0.3	96	0.8	112
Ward's	1.00	0.48	0.476	-2.2	65	-0.1	98





Contrast media



A.R.RAJAEI MD

3- ROI(Region Of Interest) insertion

For each region should be defined:

Spine: labeling of each vertebrae Hip: put the femoral neck at the right place Forearm: put the distal box at correct place Whole body: put all boxes at right places



Fig. 2-3. The characteristic shapes of the lumbar vertebrae as seen on a DXA AP spine study.



Labeling of vertebrae

I. Shape of vertebrae:

- L1, L2, L3 are U shape
- L4 X or H shape
- L5 WM shape

II. Anatomic rules:

- Iliac crest is parallel of L5
- The tip of 12th rib is parallel to L1
- The longest transverse process is L3





124 x 136 NECK: 49 x 15 DAP: 1.2 cGy*cm²

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	21 December 2013 ID: A1221130H
Scan Type:	x Left Hip
Analysis:	21 December 2013 09:36 Version 13.3
-	Hip
Operator:	
Model:	Discovery W (S/N 83167)
Comment:	

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	6.26	4.41	0.704	-1.7	76	-0.8	87
Troch	15.21	9.23	0.607	-1.3	78	-1.0	82
Inter	27.38	27.73	1.013	-1.0	85	-0.7	89
Total	48.84	41.37	0.847	-1.2	82	-0.8	87
Ward's	1.31	0.72	0.548	-1.7	70	-0.2	96
Total BMD	CV 1.0%						

Neck box replacement

- Not passes the trochanter or head regions(+
- The inner side of box if continues in lower part should be crosses the inf. Ramus(Red line)
- The outer side of box if continues should not crosses trochanter region in upper part(black line)
- The close relation between neck & ward boxes (green cycle)



Incorrect Neck Box replacement



Image not for diagnostic us 107 x 127 NECK: 47 x 15 HAL: 102 mm The UD box(I) should be pass through the radioulnar joint & the radioulnar line(II) should be straight & 90 degree vertical



Scan Information:

Scan Date:	10 December 2013	ID: A12101311
Scan Type:	a R.Forearm	
Analysis:	10 December 2013 14 Right Forearm	:11 Version 13.3
Operator:	NAME AND	
Model:	Discovery W (S/N 83)	167)
Comment:	1.54 60	

DXA Results Summary:

Radius	Area (cm ²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
1/3	2.85	1.71	0.600	-1.6	86	-0.8	92
MID	7.82	3.77	0.482	-2.3	79	-1.5	85
UD	4.31	1.65	0.383	-1.0	86	-0.5	93
Total	14.98	7.13	0.476	-1.9	82	-1.2	88

Common BMD mistakes

Step 3: ROI(Region Of Interest) insertion





Image not for diagnostic use 116 x 134 DAP: 2.3 cGy*cm²

Common mista analysis/interp

53



Labelling in incorrect, L4 falsely labelled and at this figure L4 is L3 and L4 is the lower vertebra







Femoral neck box placement.. (A) Incorrect analysis: femoral neck T-score = -3.2. (B) Correct analysis: femoral neck T-score = -3.0.

Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei





Image not for diagnostic use 228 x 91

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

A.R.RAJAEI MD

Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei



Step 3 technical errors:

- 1. Incorrect A box insertion
- 2. 2. B line is not vertical

Image not for diagnostic use 228 x 91

analysis/interpretation

Commo

4- Rules of area

Since bone density is indirectly measured by BMC/area, and since area is determined by technician, so it must have rules that go beyond the specified limit.

Area: L1 (9.5-11.5) < L2 (10.5-13.5) < L3 (12-14,5) < L4 (15-18)

Total area: F= 40-50 M= 45-55



Scan Information:

06 May 2013	ID: A0506131B
x Lumbar Spine	
06 May 2013 11:04 Vers	ion 13.3
Spine	
Discovery W (S/N 83167	7)
	06 May 2013 x Lumbar Spine 06 May 2013 11:04 Vers Spine Discovery W (S/N 8316)

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
LI	11.89	10.42	0.876	-1.0	88	-1.0	89
L2	13.04	13.80	1.058	0.3	103	0.3	103
L3	14.34	15.27	1.065	-0.2	98	-0.1	99
L4	16.61	17.22	1.037	-0.2	98	-0.2	98
Total	55.89	56.71	1.015	-0.3	97	-0.3	97
Total Total BMD	55.89	56.71	1.015	-0.3	97	-0.3	

BMC: L1 < L2 < L3 < L4 BMD: L1 < L2 < L3 > L4



Scan Information:

Scan Date:	06 May 2013	ID: A0506131B
Scan Type:	x Lumbar Spine	
Analysis:	06 May 2013 11:04 Vers	sion 13.3
	Spine	
Operator:		
Model:	Discovery W (S/N 8316	7)
Comment:		

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - store	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
LI	11.89	10.42	0.876	-1.0	88	-1.0	89
L2	13.04	13.80	1.058	0.3	103	0.3	103
L3	14.34	15.27	1.065	-0.2	98	-0.1	99
L4	16.61	17.22	1.037	-0.2	98	-0.2	98
Total	55.89	56.71	1.015	-0.3	97	-0.3	97

Total BMD CV 1.0% A.R.RAJAEI MD



Image not for diagnostic use 116 x 140

Scan Information:

Scan Date: 28 December 2021 ID: A1228210T Scan Type: f Lumbar Spine Analysis: 28 December 2021 12:58 Version 13.6.0.7 Spine Operator: Sh Model: Horizon Wi (S/N 304687M) Comment:

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
L1	17.28	16.69	0.966	-0.2	98	-0.1	99
L2	17.17	15.90	0.926	-0.9	90	-0.6	93
L3	19.09	19.48	1.020	-0.6	94	0.2	102
L4	19.64	22.44	1.142	0.7	108	1.4	116
Total	73.18	74.50	1.018	-0.3	97	0.3	103

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Normal Fracture Risk: Not Increased Step I: not provided Step II: Above: ¹/₂ T12 Below: ½L5 Sides: 2 cm Straightness: correct Artifact: none All of them is correct **Step III:** ROI insertion: upper line: disc space between T12-L1 Lower line: disc space between L4-5 but need to define T12, L1, L2, L3, L4, L5

Based shape: L1, L2,L3 U L4: X or H L5:wm

Based anatomic landmarks:

- Iliac crest parallel to L5
- Lowest rib attached to T12
- Longest transverse process to L3
 But labelling is correct.
 Longest transverse process to L3: not seen



Image not for diagnostic use 116 x 140

Scan Information:

Scan Date:28 December 2021ID: A1228210TScan Type:f Lumbar SpineAnalysis:28 December 2021 12:58 Version 13.6.0.7SpineSpineOperator:ShModel:Horizon Wi (S/N 304687M)Comment:

DXA Results Summary:

Regio	n Area (cm²	BMC	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
L1	17.28	3 16.69	0.966	-0.2	98	-0.1	99
L2	17.1	15.90	0.926	-0.9	90	- 0.6	93
L3	19.09	19.48	1.020	-0.6	94	0.2	102
L4	19.64	4 22.44	1.142	0.7	108	1.4	116
Total	73.18	3 74.50	1.018	-0.3	97	0.3	103

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Normal Fracture Risk: Not Increased **Step IV**: Area: L1> L2< L3 < L4 Area: Incorrect. BMD: L1> L2< L3< L4 L1> L2: Incorrect L2< L3: correct L3< L4: Incorrect

Best region for report: L2-3



Image not for diagnostic use 116 x 140

Scan Information:

Scan Date:28 December 2021ID: A1228210TScan Type:f Lumbar SpineAnalysis:28 December 2021 12:58 Version 13.6.0.7SpineSpineOperator:ShModel:Horizon Wi (S/N 304687M)Comment:

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
L1	17.28	16.69	0.966	-0.2	98	-0.1	99
L2	17.17	15.90	0.926	-0.9	90	-0.6	93
L3	19.09	19.48	1.020	-0.6	94	0.2	102
L4	19.64	22.44	1.142	0.7	108	1.4	116
Total	73.18	74.50	1.018	-0.3	97	0.3	103

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Normal Fracture Risk: Not Increased



Probably don't use lumbar spine positioner

A.R.RAJAEI MD

Area rules: ward < $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}$ neck area Trochanter: F= 11 ± 3 M= 13 ± 3 Neck: F > 4.5 M > 5



Scan Information:

Scan Date:	21 May 2015	ID: A05211518
Scan Type:	x Left Hip	
Analysis:	21 May 2015 15:30 Hip	Version 13.3
Operator:		
Model:	Discovery W (S/N	83167)
Comment:	and a second state of the second	

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	4.54	3.26	0.718	-1.2	85	0.1	101
Troch	11.87	7.26	0.612	-0.9	87	-0.1	99
Inter	17.16	16.35	0.953	-0.9	87	-0.3	95
Total	33.56	26.87	0.801	-1.2	85	-0.3	96
Ward's	1.06	0.62	0.581	-1.3	79	0.6	114



Referring Physician:



123 x 130 NECK: 49 x 13 HAL 117 milli



Tourneys, White Periade Source-2012 Interest NUMANES Where senale Z-score vs. White Semale Assess 2012 BMDCCENTRATES where Ferrate

Scan Information:

Scan Date: 24 April 2023 ID: A0424230F Scan Type: fLeft Hip Analysis: 24 April 2023 14:24 Version 13.6.1.3 Hip Operator: Model: Horizon Wi (S/N 305791M) Comment:

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Ares (cm ²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T- score	PR (%)	Z- score	AM (%)
Nock	4.12	3,44	0.797	-0.5	9.4	0.7	111
Troch	15.75	7.79	0.494	-2.1	70	-1.1	70
Inter	26.67	28.02	1.051	-0,3	96	0.3	104
Total	46.73	39.25	0.840	-0.8	89	0.0	100
Ward's	1.13	0.55	0,489	-2.1	67	-0.2	19.2

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Normal

10-year Fracture Risk

5.5%	
0.256	
113	
	5.5% 0.2%

- PRANE Version 3.08. Fracture probability indicated for an introduct patient. Fracture probability may be lower of the patient has recurrent transment.

Comment:

All treatment decisions require clinical judgment and consideration of individual patient factors, including patient preferences, comorbidities, previous drug use and risk factors not captured in the FRAX model (e.g. fraily, falls, vitamin D deficiency, increased bone turnover, interval significant decline in BMD).

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

Q10

Step I: Name: probable Sex: Female Weight & Height: probable **Ethnicity:** Incorrect(foonote: **NANHENS III** & ethnicity **White**) **Step II**: Above: ≥ 2 Cm Below: \geq 1.5 Cm (A > B) Incorrect Sides: **Inner:** neck-ramus distance > 1 Cm, visibility of obturator foramen & no or low visibility of lesser trochanter **Duter:** \geq 1 Cm of soft tissue Straightness: correct Artifact: present(Red arrow) All of them is correct except artifact & scan should be restarted.



Referring Physician:



Scan Information:

Scan Date: 24 April 2023 ID: A0424230F Scan Type: f Left Hip Analysis: 24 April 2023 14:24 Version 13.6.1.3 Hip Operator: Model: Horizon Wi (S/N 305791M) Comment:

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm ²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T- score	PR (%)	Z- score	AM (%)
Neck	4.12	3,44	0.797	-0.5	9.4	0.7	111
Troch	15.75	7.79	0.494	-2.1	70	-1.3	70
Inter	26.67	28.02	1.051	-0,3	96;	0.3	104
Total	46.73	39.25	0.840	-0.8	89	0.0	100
Ward's	1.13	0.55	0,489	-2.1	67	-0.2	19.2

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Normal

111-2

10-year Fracture Risk

Major Osteoporotic Fracture	5.5%
Hip Fracture	0.296
Reported Risk Factors:	
US (Cancasian), Neck ISMD-0 797, BMI-0	11.3

PRANE Version 3.08. Fracture protiability calculated for an untrained patient. Fracture protability may be lower if the patient has recorded trainment.

Comment:

All treatment decisions require clinical judgment and consideration of individual patient factors, including patient preferences, comorbidities, previous drug use and risk factors not captured in the FRAX model (e.g. fraily, falls, vitamin D deficiency, increased bone turnover, interval significant decline in BMD).

Common mistakes in BMD

Tourneys, White Periade Source-2012 Interest NUMANES Where senale Z-score vs. White Semale Assess 2012 BMDCCENTRATES where Ferrate
Step III: neck box replacement

4 characters:

- should not be enter to head & GT(white arrows)
- Inner border line continues should be crossed of ramus or very near to ramus(Red arrow)
- Outer border line continues do not enter to GT(Green arrow)
- Neck box should be near to ward box(Green cycle)

Neck box should be moved to inner side



Name: azam Patient ID: 3872647245 DOB: 24 August 1965

Referring Physician:



123 x 130 NECK: 49 x 13 HAL: 117 mill

Sex: Female Ethnicity: White Menopause Age: 52

Scan Information:

Scim Date:	24 April 2023
Scan Type:	f Left Hip
Analysis:	24 April 2023 14:24 V Hip
Operator: Model:	Horizon Wi (S/N 305)
Comment:	

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm ²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)
Nock	4.12	3.44	0.797
Troch	15.75	7,79	0.494
Inter	26.67	28.02	1.051
Total	46.73	39.25	0.840
Ward's	1.13	0.55	0,489

Test UMD CM 1025

Step IV: Area: Neck: F ≥ 4.5 & M≥ 5
Greater trochanter: F 11 ± 3 & M 13 ± 3
Neck/ward ratio: ≥ 3

All relatively GT is higher

Step V: lower T/Z score in neck or total region.

Total: T-score & normal

If all of 4 steps are correct the result is conclusive



Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

Area: 1/3 of radius > 2 & Total: >12



Scan Information:

Scan Date:	10 December 2013	ID: A12101311
Scan Type:	a R.Forearm	
Analysis:	10 December 2013 14 Right Forearm	:11 Version 13.3
Operator:	na an ta salah di pasaranana. Mana ang manananananana	
Model:	Discovery W (S/N 83)	167)
Comment:	0.00	

DXA Results Summary:

Radius	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
1/3	2.85	1.71	0.600	-1.6	86	-0.8	92
MID	7.82	3.77	0.482	-2.3	79	-1.5	85
UD	4.31	1.65	0.383	-1.0	86	-0.5	93
Total	14.98	7.13	0.476	-1.9	82	-1.2	88

5- selection of best region of each scan

Based on results.

At spine, at first four vertebrae or L1-4 is better then three near vertebrae such as L1-3 or L2-4 & finally the adjacent vertebrae such as L1-2, L2-3 & L3-4 can be used and we cannot use of one vertebra.

At hip: the lowest score(T or Z) between neck & total.

At forearm: 1/3 of radius is best region.



Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

A.R.RAJAEI MD



Image not for diagnostic use 116 x 123 DAP: 1.6 cGy*cm²

Scan Information:

Scan Date: 21 June 2016 ID: A06211608 Scan Type: x Lumbar Spine Analysis: 21 June 2016 09:47 Version 13.3 Spine Operator: Model: Discovery W (S/N 83167) Comment:

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
L1	12.53	12.08	0.963	-0.2	97	0.3	104
L2	13.85	13.91	1.004	-0.2	98	0.4	105
L3	15.11	14.36	0.950	-1.2	88	-0.6	94
L4	16.56	16.46	0.994	-0.6	94	0.1	101
Total	58.05	56.81	0.979	-0.6	93	0.0	100

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Normal Fracture Risk: Not Increased

DXA Results Summary:							
Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
L1	12.53	12.08	0.963	-0.2	97	0.3	104
L2	13.85	13.91	1.004	-0.2	98	0.4	105
L3	15.11	14.36	0.950	-1.2	88	-0.6	94
L4	16.56	16.46	0.994	-0.6	94	0.1	101
L1-L2	26.38	25.98	0.985	0.1	101	0.6	108
L1,L3	27.64	26.44	0.956	-0.5	94	0.1	101
L1,L4	29.09	28.54	0.981	-0.5	95	0.1	101
L2-L3	28.96	28.27	0.976	-0.7	92	-0.1	99
L2,L4	30.40	30.37	0.999	-0.7	93	-0.1	99
L3-L4	31.67	30.83	0.973	-1.2	88	-0.5	95
L1-L3	41.49	40.34	0.972	-0.4	96	0.2	102
L1-L2,L4	42.94	42.45	0.989	-0.4	96	0.2	102
L1,L3-L4	44.20	42.90	0.971	-0.7	92	-0.1	99
L2-L4	45.51	44.73	0.983	-0.9	91	-0.2	98
L1-L4	58.05	56.81	0.979	-0.6	93	0.0	100

Name Patient ID: 92.02.254 DOB: 14 January 1952

Sex: Female Ethnicity: White

Height: 151.0 cm Weight: 45.0 kg Age: 61

leferring Physician: Dr.Mirbaha



DAP: 1.2 cOyfenf

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	06 May 2013	ID: A0506130B
Scan Type:	x Left Hip	
Analysis:	06 May 2013	08:44 Version 13.3
O	rup	
Model:	Discovery W	(S/N 83167)
Comment:	-	

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm ²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ³)	T - score	PR (%)	Z- score	AM (%)
Neck	4.25	2.51	0.591	-2.3	70	-1.0	84
Troch	8.94	5.37	0.601	-1.0	85	-0.1	99
Inter	13.45	12.11	0.900	-1.3	82	-0.5	92
Total	26.64	20.00	0.750	-1.6	80	-0.6	92
Ward's	1.13	0.42	0.371	-3.1	50	-1.1	75

Total BMD CV L0%

WHO Classification: Osteopenia

PROMP WHO Provident State Incompanyet First

10-year Fracture Risk⁴

Major Osteoporotic Fracture	1.3%
Hip Fracture	0.3%
Reported Risk Factors:	
Turkey, T-scors(WHO)=-2.2, BMI=19.7	

* FRAXB Version 3.01. Facture probability calculated for an untreated patient. Fracture probability may be lower if the patient has received treatment.

Comment:

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

Name Patient ID: 92.02.254 DOB: 14 January 1952

Sex: Female Ethnicity: White

leferring Physician: Dr.Mirbaha

DAP: 1.2 ofly#em#

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	06 May 2013	D:	A0506130B
Scan Type:	x Left Hip		
Analysis:	06 May 2013	08:44 Version 1	3.3
Constant	unb		
Model:	Discovery W((S/N 83167)	
Comment:			

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm ²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z- score	AM (%)
Neck	4.25	2.51	0.591	-2.3	70	-1.0	84
Teret	8.04		0.001	1.0	85	-0.1	99
Inter	13,45	12.11	0.900	-1.3	82	-0.5	92
Total	26.64	20.00	0.750	-1.6	80	-0.6	92
Ward's	1.13	0,42	0.371	-3.1	.50	-1.1	75

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Osteopenia

PEOLO Province Sink Systematic Find

10-year Fracture Risk⁴

Major Osteoporotic Fracture	1.3%	
Hip Fracture	0.3%	
Reported Risk Factors:		
Turkey, T-score(WHO)=-2.2, BMI=19.7		

* FRAXB Version 3.01. Facture probability calculated for an untreated patient. Fracture probability may be lower if the patient has received treatment.

Comment:

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

Types of comparison

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD)

Same center & Different Devices(SCDD)

Different Centers & Same Devices(DCSD)

Different Centers & Different Devices(DCDD)

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD)

Step 1: check & control the ID of patient esp. height & weight because may be changed.

CAUTION:

If any problem or technical errors present in first and or second scan, we can change it.

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD): Step 2

Step 2: good scan criteria

Its very important that take the scan same as the first as much as possible except artifacts if present in first scan.

CAUTION:

If any problem or technical errors present in first scan, we cannot change it, therefore keeping of good scan criteria on first scan is very vital.

Second scan shape should be very similar to first scan

Second scan shape should be very similar to first scan

Name: Ataran, Masoomeh Patient ID: 99.02.36 DOB: 14 March 1945	Sex: Female Ethnicity: Caucasian	Height: 160.0 cm Weight: 68.0 kg Age: 73	Name: Ataran, Mas Patient ID: 99.02.30 DOB: 14 March 19	oomeh 6 45	Sex: Female Ethnicity: Caucasian	Height: 161.0 cm Weight: 66.0 kg Age: 75
Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei	Scan Information: Scan Date: 08 May 2018 Scan Type: x Left Hip Analysis: 08 May 2018 08 Hip Operator: Sh Model: Discovery W (S Comment: DXA Results Summar Region Area BMC B (cm ²) (g) (g/C Neck 4.90 3.53 0. Troch 13.94 7.21 0.	ID: A0508180B 8:42 Version 13.3 5/N 83167) y: MD T - PR Z- cm ²) score (%) score 722 -1.1 85 0.8 518 -1.8 74 -0.4	AM 93	Dr.Rajaei	Scan Information: Scan Date: 29 April 2020 Scan Type: x Left Hip Analysis: 29 April 2020 09: Hip Operator: NB Model: Discovery W (S/N Comment:	ID: A04292003 59 Version 13.6.0.2 V 83167) Neck D B D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Image not for diagnostic use 110 x 119 NECK: 49 x 15	Inter 23.17 24.50 1. Total 42.00 35.24 0. Ward's 1.19 0.57 0.	.057 -0.3 96 1.2 .839 -0.8 89 0.8 .474 -2.2 65 0.5	121 Image not for diagn 114 106 x 119 114 NECK: 48 x 15 114 HAL: 110 mm	ostic use	10-year Fracture Risk ¹ Major Osteoporotic Fracture Hip Fracture Reported Risk Factors: US (Caucasian), Neck BMD=0.727, BM	10% 1.7%

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD): Step 3= ROI insertion

Insertion of ROI boxes on correct region on both scan is very crucial.

CAUTION:

If any problem or technical errors present in first and or second scan, we can change it.

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

On spine scan: labeling of vertebra is should be similar.

On hip scan: neck box insertion is should be similar.

Where is the best region for neck box:

The neck box should be replaced on the neck area as that:

1-Not passes the trochanter or head regions

- 2- The inner side of box if continues in lower part should be crosses the inf. Ramus
- 3- The outer side of box if continues should not crosses trochanter region in upper part.

Name: Association, Narjes Patient 117, 99:03.169 DOB: 14 March 1948	Sex: Female Ethnicity: Caucasian	9	Heig Weig Age:	ht: 152 ght: 66. 70	.0 cm 0 kg	
Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei						
	Scan Informat Scan Date: 10 Ap Scan Type: x Left Analysis: 10 Ap Hip Operator: Sh Model: Disco Come: III DXA Results S	on: ril 2018 Hip ril 2018 09:43 very W (S/N 8.	ID: 7	A04101 3.3	1809	
	Region (cm²)Neck4.54	BMC BMD (g) (g/cm ²) 3.45 0.760	T - score -0.8	PR (%) 90	Z - score 1.0	AM (%) 117
	Troch 9.74	5.72 0.587	-1.1	83	0.2	103
	Inter 21.56	20.81 0.965	-0.9	88	0.4	107
Image not for diagnostic use	10tal 35.84 Word's 1.22	0.78 0.586	-0.9	89	0.6	110
Name: Azimi Badrabadi, Narjes Patient ID: 99.03.169 DOB: 14 March 1948	Sex: Female Ethnicity: Caucasian		Heigh Weigl Age:	nt: 151.0 ht: 64.0 72	0 cm 9 kg	

Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei

Common mistakes i analysis/interpretat

Image not for diagnostic use 96 x 102 NECK: 48 x 12 HAL: 92 mm

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	15 June 2020	ID: A0615200J
Scan Type:	x Left Hip	
Analysis:	15 June 2020 09:53	3 Version 13.6.0.2
	Hip	
Operator:		
Model:	Discovery W (S/N	83167)
Comment:		

DXA Results Summary:

WHO Classification: Osteopenia

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	3.64	2.84	0.780	-0.6	92	1.3	123
Troch	6.37	4.48	0.703	0.0	100	1.4	126
Inter	19.79	15.92	0.804	-1.9	73	-0.5	91
Total	29.81	23.25	0.780	-1.3	83	0.3	105
Ward's	1.04	1.05	1.010	2.4	138	5.0	240

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD): Step 4: rules of region

Determination of total area for comparison:

For spine scans:

Selection of which vertebrae keeps the below rules on first scan:

Area: L1>L2>L3>L4

BMD: L1>L2>L3<L4

Selection of which vertebrae keeps the below rules on second scan: Area: L1>L2>L3>L4
BMD: L1>L2>L3<L4</p>

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD): Step 4: rules of region

If both scans have same region of kept rules for example both shows L1-4 or L2-4, L1-3, L3-4 and etc. we can g to later stage of comparison, but if on first scan 2 vertebrae for example L2-3 is suitable & on second scan 3 vertebrae for example L2-4 was suitable, we use L2-3 for comparison.

After selection of kept rules region, we should see the total area of two scan and as a rule the difference of these should not above 2 cm.

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
L1	9.95	7.17	0.720	-2.5	73	-0.6	92
L2	10.52	7.52	0.714	-2.9	69	-0.8	89
L3	11.35	7.89	0.695	-3.5	64	-1.3	82
L4	13.70	10.53	0.769	-2.7	72	-0.4	95
L1-L2	20.48	14.69	0.717	-2.4	73	-0.4	94
L1,L3	21.31	15.06	0.707	-2.8	70	-0.7	90
L1,L4	23.65	17.70	0.748	-2.6	72	-0.5	93
L2-L3	21.88	15.41	0.704	-3.2	67	-1.1	86
L2,L4	24.22	18.05	0.745	-3.0	69	-0.8	89
L3-L4	25.05	18.42	0.735	-3.3	67	-1,1	86
L1-L3	31.83	22.58	0.709	-2.8	70	-0.7	90
L1-L2,L4	34.18	25.22	0.738	-2.7	71	-0.6	92
L1,L3-L4	35.01	23.5	0.731	-2.9	69	-0.8	89
L2-L4	35.58	25.94	0.729	-3.2	68	-1.0	87
L1-L4	45.53	33.11	0.727	-2.9	69	-0.8	89

DVA Poculte Summanu

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
L1	9.53	6.58	0.691	-2.7	70	-0.7	90
L2	10.96	7.59	0.693	-3.0	67	-0.8	88
L3	11.41	7.09	0.621	-4.2	57	-1.9	75
L4	13.81	10.61	0.768	-2.7	72	-0.3	96
L1-L2	20.48	14.17	0.692	-2.6	71	-0.5	92
L1,L3	20.94	15.07	0.653	-3.3	64	-1.1	84
L1,L4	23.34	17.19	0.736	-2.7	71	-0.5	93
L2-L3	22.37	14.68	0,656	-3.7	62	-1.4	81
L2,L4	24.77	18.19	0.735	-3.1	68	-0.8	89
L3-L4	25.23	17.70	0.701	-3.6	64	-1.3	83
L1-L3	31.90	21.26	0.666	-3.2	65	-1.0	86
L1-L2,L4	34.29	24.77	0.722	-2.8	70	-0.6	91
L1,L3-L4	34.75	24.28	0.699	-3.2	66	-1.0	87
L2-L4	36.18	25.28	0.699	-3.5	65	-1.1	85
L1-L4	45.71	31.86	0.697	-3.2	67	-0.9	87

Total BMD CV 1.0%

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD): Step 4: rules of region

But for hip region, the only region can be used for comparison is TOTAL, on special cases the trochanter or body may be used but never ever uses of neck for comparison.

On forearm region, comparison should be done based on TOTAL not 1/3 or 33%.

Name: Azimi Badrabadi, Narjes Patient ID: 99.03.169 DOB: 14 March 1948

Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei

NECK: 48 x 12 HAL: 92 mm

Com

anal

recenting raystenin. Dranguer

International states and the second states a

Sex: Female Ethnicity: Caucasian Height: 151.0 cm Weight: 64.0 kg Age: 72

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	15 June 2020	ID: A0615200J
Scan Type:	x Left Hip	
Analysis:	15 June 2020 09:53	Version 13.6.0.2
	Hip	
Operator:		
Model:	Discovery W (S/N	83167)
Comment [.]		

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	3.64	2.84	0.780	-0.6	92	1.3	123
Troch	6.37	4.48	0.703	0.0	100	1.4	126
Inter	19.79	15.92	0.804	-1.9	73	-0.5	91
Total	29.81	23.25	0.780	-1.3	83	0.3	105
Ward's	1.04	1.05	1.010	2.4	138	5.0	240

Total BMD CV 1.0%

WHO Classification: Osteopenia

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	10 April 2018	ID: A04101809
Scan Type:	x Left Hip	
Analysis:	10 April 2018 09:4	3 Version 13.3
	Hip	
Operator:	Sh	
Model:	Discovery W (S/N	83167)
Comment:		

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	4.54	3.45	0.760	-0.8	90	1.0	117
Troch	9.74	5.72	0.587	-1.1	83	0.2	103
Inter	21.56	20.81	0.965	-0.9	88	0.4	107
Total	35.84	29.98	0.836	-0.9	89	0.6	110
Ward's	1.33	0.78	0.586	-1.3	80	1.3	134

Total BMD CV 1.0%

Referring Physician: Dr.Rajaei

96 x 102 NECK: 48 x 12 HAL: 92 mm

an

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	15 June 2020	ID: A0615200J
Scan Type:	x Left Hip	
Analysis:	15 June 2020 09:53 Hip	3 Version 13.6.0.2
Operator:		
Model:	Discovery W (S/N	83167)
Comment:	IV	

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	3.64	2.84	0.780	-0.6	92	1.3	123
Troch	6.37	4.48	0.703	0.0	100	1.4	126
Inter	19.79	15.92	0.804	-1.9	73	-0.5	91
Total	29.81	23.25	0.780	-1.3	83	0.3	105
Ward's	1.04	1.05	1.010	2.4	138	5.0	240

Total BMD CV 1.0% WHO Classification: Osteopenia

Same Center & Same Device (SCSD): Step 5: interpretation We have three stages in this step: Stage 1: Separate report of each scan Stage 2: Determine of percent change on compared scan Stage 3: Define of response as complete, partial or no response.

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
LI	9.95	7.17	0.720	-2.5	73	-0.6	92
L2	10.52	7.52	0.714	-2.9	69	-0.8	89
L3	11.35	7.89	0.695	-3.5	64	-1.3	82
L4	13,70	10.53	0.769	-2.7	72	-0.4	95
L1-L2	20.48	14.69	0.717	-2.4	73	-0.4	94
L1,L3	21.31	15.06	0.707	-2.8	70	-0.7	90
L1,L4	23.65	17.70	0.748	-2.6	72	-0.5	93
L2-L3	21.88	15.41	0.704	-3.2	67	-1.1	86
L2,L4	24.22	18.05	0.745	-3.0	69	-0.8	89
L3-L4	25.05	18.42	0.735	-3.3	67	-1,1	86
L1-L3	31.83	22.58	0.709	-2.8	70	-0.7	90
L1-L2,L4	34.18	25.22	0.738	-2.7	71	-0.6	92
L1,L3-L4	35.01	23.5	0.731	-2.9	69	-0.8	89
L2-L4	35.58	25.94	0.729	-3.2	68	-1.0	87
L1-L4	45.53	33.11	0.727	-2.9	69	-0.8	89

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)	
L1	9.53	6.58	0.691	-2.7	70	-0.7	90	
L2	10.96	7.59	0.693	-3.0	67	-0.8	88	
L3	11.41	7.09	0.621	-4.2	57	-1.9	75	
L4	13.81	10.61	0.768	-2.7	72	-0.3	96	
L1-L2	20.48	14.17	0.692	-2.6	71	-0.5	92	
L1,L3	20.94	13.0/	0.655	-3.3	64	-1.1	84	
L1,L4	23.34	17.19	0.736	-2.7	71	-0.5	93	
L2-L3	22.37	14.68	0.656	-3.7	62	-1.4	81	
L2,L4	24.77	18.19	0.735	-3.1	68	-0.8	89	
L3-L4	25.23	17.70	0.701	-3.6	64	-1.3	83	
L1-L3	31.90	21.26	0.666	-3.2	65	-1.0	86	
L1-L2,L4	34.29	24.77	0.722	-2.8	70	-0.6	91	
L1,L3-L4	34.75	24.28	0.699	-3.2	66	-1.0	87	
L2-L4	36.18	25.28	0.699	-3.5	65	-1.1	85	
L1-L4	45.71	31.86	0.697	-3.2	67	-0.9	87	

Stage 1 of step 5

Based on selected region definition on previous step & below algorithm the final result of each scan explained.

Common mistakes in BMD analysis/interpretation

A.R.RAJAEI MD

Stage 2 of step 5

Cbmd= second or last BMD – first or previous scan/first or previous scan X 100 =BMDL1-2=bmd L1-2(2020) - bmd L1-2(2018)/bmd L1-2(2018)x100 =0.692-0.717/0.717 = -3.5%

Stage 2 of step 5

Cbmd hip= total hip BMD 2020- total hip BMD2018/ total hip BMD2018 x 100 = /0.780-0.748/0.748 x100 =4.3%

	(cm ²)	(g)	(g/cm ²)	score	(%)	score	(%)	
L1	9.13	4.89	0.536	-4.1	54	-2.3	67	
L2	11.65	6.80	0.584	-4.0	57	-2.1	72	I
L3	12.15	8.27	0.680	-3.7	63	-1.6	80	I
L4	12.67	7.46	0.589	-4.3	56	-2.2	71	I
L1-L2	20.78	11.70	0.563	-3.8	57	-1.9	73	I
L1,L3	21.27	13.16	0.619	-3.6	61	-1.6	77	I
L1,L4	21.79	12.35	0.567	-4.3	55	-2.3	69	I
L2-L3	23.80	15.07	0.633	-3.9	60	-1.8	76	I
L2,L4	24.32	14.26	0.586	-4.5	54	-2.4	69	I
L3-L4	24.81	15.73	6-634	4.2	58	-2.1	73	I
L1-L3	32.93	19.96	0 06	3.7	60	-1.8	76	I
L1-L2,L4	33.44	19.16	0.: 3	4.2	55	-2.2	70	I
L1,L3-L4	33.94	20.62	0.6	1 .0	58	-2.0	73	I
L2-L4	36.47	22.53	0.618	-4.2	57	-2.1	73	I
L1-L4	45.59	27.42	0.601	-4.1	57	-2.0	73	I
DXA Resu	ults Summar	y:						
Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)	
L1	11.45	6.66	0.582	-3.7	59	-1.8	75	
L2	12.05	7.06	0.586	-4.0	57	-1.9	74	
L3	12.11	8.07	0.666	-3.8	61	-1.5	80	
L4	14.78	7.79	0.527	-4.9	50	-2.5	65	
L1-L2	23.49	13.72	0.584	-3.6	60	-1.6	77	-
L1,L3	23.55	14.75	0.025	-3.5	62	-1.4	80	
L1,L4	20.25	14.40	0.551	-4.4	50	-2.5	09	
1.21.4	26.83	14.85	0.553	-4.8	51	-2.5	67	
L3-L4	26.89	15.86	0.590	-4.6	54	-2.4	69	
L1-L3	35.60	21.79	0.612	3.7	60	-1.6	78	
L1-L2,L4	38.28	21.51	0.56	-4.3	54	-2.1	70	
TITZTA	20.24	22.52	0.50	4.2	56	2.0	72	
L1,L3-L4	38,34	22.52	0.58	-4.2	50	-2.0	1.4	
L2-L4	38.34 38.94	22.52	0.58	-4.2	55	-2.2	71	

Total BMD CV 1 0%

BMDL1-2=bmd L1-2(2020) - bmd L1-2(2017)/bmd L1-2(2017)x100

=0.584 - 0.563/0.563 x100

=3.7%

Operator: Model: Discovery W (S/N 83167) Comment:

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	4.76	1.99	0.417	-3.9	49	-2.2	63
Troch	8.29	2.85	0.344	-3.6	49	-2.3	60
Inter	25.04	12.15	0.485	-4.0	44	-2.8	53
Total	38.09	16.98	0.446	-4.1	47	-2.6	58
Ward's	1.18	0.23	0.194	-4.6	26	-2.2	43

Scan Information:

Scan Date:	26 February 2020	ID: A02262005
Scan Type:	x Left Hip	
Analysis:	26 February 2020 08:	41 Version 13.6.0.2
	Hip (low density)	
Operator:	NB	
Model:	Discovery W (S/N 83	167)
Comment:		

DXA Results Summary:

Region	Area (cm ²)	BMC (g)	BMD (g/cm ²)	T - score	PR (%)	Z - score	AM (%)
Neck	5.18	1.92	0.371	-4.3	44	-2.4	58
Troch	7.16	2.46	0.344	-3.6	49	-2.2	61
Inter	22.50	11.43	0.508	-3.8	46	-2.5	57
Total	34.84	15.81	0.454	-4.0	48	-2.4	60
Ward's	1.17	0.29	0.244	-4.2	33	-1.6	57

Total BMD CV 1.0% WHO Classification: Osteoporosis
Cbmd hip= total hip Bmd 2020 total hip bmd2017/ total hip bmd2017 x 100

= 0.454 - 0.446/0.446 x100



Stage 3 of step 5

Response to treatment needs to information

1- the device precision

2- the center LSC

For SCSD

Definition as below:

≥ 7% increase on BMD= complete response

Between +7% & -7% = Partial response

< -7% decrease on BMD = no response

Refractory to osteoporosis treatment

Occurrence of two fragile(low trauma)

- vertebral fractures after 1 yr of correct treatment or one vertebra & one another regions(forearm, rib, humerus, tibia, pelvis)
- Occurrence of fragility hip fracture after 1 year of correct treatment .
- Occurrence of one fragile vertebra fracture
- and decrease of above 7% BMD(with this sequence: spine, then hip(total, troc, inter/body) & forearm total) on SCSD after 1 year of correct treatment.

BMDL1-2=bmd L1-2(2020) - bmd L1-2(2017)/bmd L1-2(2017)x100

=0.584 - 0.563/0.563 x100

=3.6%

CONCLUSION: P&RTI&L RESPONSE

Cbmd hip= total hip Bmd 2020 - total hip bmd2017/ total hip bmd2017 x 100

= 0.454 - 0.446/0.446 x100

=1.8%

CONCLUSION: PARTIAL RESPONSE

Google sbmd calculator \times Q Q All Images Videos News : More Tools About 91 results (0.58 seconds) University of Washington w https://courses.washington.edu > bonephys > opBMDs BMD standardization These are the equations: **sBMD** = 1000 (a + b x BMD). Manufacturer, Parameter, Femoral neck, Trochanter, Total hip. Hologic, a, 0.019, -0.017, 0.006.

You've visited this page many times. Last visit: 4/12/23

Images for sbmd calculator :







